

## Mandatory arrest for domestic violence

### Adult Criminal Justice

Literature review updated June 2022.

As part of WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies, WSIPP determines "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using an approach called meta-analysis. For detail on our methods, see our [Technical Documentation](#). At this time, WSIPP has not yet calculated benefits and costs for this topic.

Program Description: Mandatory arrest laws require that, given probable cause, police must make an arrest in misdemeanor domestic violence (DV) cases. The goal of these laws is to reduce DV recidivism. The studies included in this analysis compare mandatory arrest to police separating or counseling couples.

### Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes measured	No. of effect sizes	Treatment N	Adjusted effect size and standard error			Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)	
			ES	SE	Age	ES	p-value
Crime	1	214	0.061	0.107	31	0.061	0.571
Domestic violence	6	2103	0.002	0.064	31	0.002	0.971
Domestic violence homicide	1	50	0.022	0.046	31	0.022	0.637

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our [Technical Documentation](#).

## Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

- Berk, R.A., Campbell, A., Klap, R., & Western, B. (1992). A Bayesian analysis of the Colorado Springs spouse abuse experiment. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 83(1), 170-200
- Chin, Y.-M., & Cunningham, S. (2019). Revisiting the effect of warrantless domestic violence arrest laws on intimate partner homicides. *Journal of Public Economics*, 179.
- Dunford, F.W., Huizanga, D., & Elliott, D.S. (1990). The role of arrest in domestic assault: The Omaha police experiment. *Criminology*, 28(2), 183-206
- Hirschel, J.D., & Hutchison, I.W. (1992). Female spouse abuse and the police response: The Charlotte, North Carolina experiment. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 83(1), 73-119
- Pate, A.M., Hamilton, E.E., & Annan, S.O. (1991). *Metro-dade spouse abuse replication project: Draft final report*. Washington, D.C: Police Foundation.
- Sherman, L.W., & Berk, R.A. (1984). *The Minneapolis domestic violence experiment*. Washington, D.C: Police Foundation.

- Sherman, L.W., Schmidt, J.D., Rogan, D.P., Smith, D.A., Gartin, P.R., Cohn, E.G., . . . Bacich, A.R. (1992). Variable effects of arrest on criminal careers: the Milwaukee domestic violence experiment. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 83(1) 137-169.
- Xie, M., Lauritsen, J.L., & Heimer, K. (2012). Intimate partner violence in US metropolitan areas: The contextual influences of police and social services. *Criminology*, 50(4), 961-992.

For further information, contact:  
(360) 664-9800, [Institute@wsipp.wa.gov](mailto:Institute@wsipp.wa.gov)

Printed on 03-25-2024



## Washington State Institute for Public Policy

The Washington State Legislature created the Washington State Institute for Public Policy in 1983. A Board of Directors-representing the legislature, the governor, and public universities-governs WSIPP and guides the development of all activities. WSIPP's mission is to carry out practical research, at legislative direction, on issues of importance to Washington State.