

Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT)-based models for child trauma

Children's Mental Health: Trauma

Benefit-cost estimates updated December 2019. Literature review updated August 2017.

Current estimates replace old estimates. Numbers will change over time as a result of model inputs and monetization methods.

The WSIPP benefit-cost analysis examines, on an apples-to-apples basis, the monetary value of programs or policies to determine whether the benefits from the program exceed its costs. WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies has three main steps. First, we determine "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using a statistical technique called meta-analysis. Second, we calculate whether the benefits of a program exceed its costs. Third, we estimate the risk of investing in a program by testing the sensitivity of our results. For more detail on our methods, see our [Technical Documentation](#).

Program Description: Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for trauma includes psycho-education about post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), relaxation and other techniques for managing physiological and emotional stress, the gradual desensitization to memories of the traumatic event (also called exposure), and cognitive restructuring of inaccurate or unhelpful thoughts. In programs in this analysis, 5 to 27 therapeutic hours per client were provided in individual or group settings, with duration of treatment ranging from one to five months. This review includes studies of trauma-focused CBT, cognitive behavioral intervention for trauma in schools (CBITS), narrative exposure therapy for traumatized children (Kid-NET), enhancing resiliency among students experiencing stress (ERASE), trauma and grief component therapy, and Teaching Recovery Techniques (TRT).

Benefit-Cost Summary Statistics Per Participant

Benefits to:

Taxpayers	\$7,677	Benefit to cost ratio	n/a
Participants	\$12,194	Benefits minus costs	\$24,303
Others	\$2,856	Chance the program will produce	
Indirect	\$1,462	benefits greater than the costs	100 %
Total benefits	\$24,189		
Net program cost	\$114		
Benefits minus cost	\$24,303		

The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2018). The chance the benefits exceed the costs are derived from a Monte Carlo risk analysis. The details on this, as well as the economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in our [Technical Documentation](#).

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes measured	Treatment age	No. of effect sizes	Treatment N	Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis						Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)	
				First time ES is estimated			Second time ES is estimated			ES	p-value
				ES	SE	Age	ES	SE	Age		
Anxiety disorder	12	18	999	-0.166	0.047	12	-0.066	0.051	13	-0.307	0.001
Major depressive disorder	12	25	1483	-0.308	0.054	12	0.000	0.310	14	-0.581	0.001
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	12	3	102	-0.324	0.150	12	-0.178	0.119	15	-0.759	0.016
Externalizing behavior symptoms	12	10	528	-0.008	0.077	12	-0.004	0.046	15	0.064	0.636
Global functioning [^]	12	4	165	0.239	0.141	12	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.490	0.038
Internalizing symptoms	12	9	296	-0.181	0.085	12	-0.181	0.085	14	-0.261	0.026
Suicidal ideation [^]	12	1	26	-0.147	0.283	19	n/a	n/a	n/a	-0.294	0.301
Post-traumatic stress	12	35	2187	-0.449	0.064	12	-0.449	0.064	13	-0.733	0.001

[^]WSIPP's benefit-cost model does not monetize this outcome.

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our [Technical Documentation](#).

Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates Per Participant

Affected outcome:	Resulting benefits: ¹	Benefits accrue to:				
		Taxpayers	Participants	Others ²	Indirect ³	Total
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	Criminal justice system	\$29	\$0	\$77	\$15	\$121
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	K-12 grade repetition	\$7	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$11
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	K-12 special education	\$81	\$0	\$0	\$40	\$121
Post-traumatic stress	Labor market earnings associated with PTSD	\$4,867	\$11,433	\$0	\$0	\$16,300
Post-traumatic stress	Health care associated with PTSD	\$2,693	\$761	\$2,779	\$1,346	\$7,579
Major depressive disorder	Mortality associated with depression	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Program cost	Adjustment for deadweight cost of program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$57	\$57
Totals		\$7,677	\$12,194	\$2,856	\$1,462	\$24,189

¹In addition to the outcomes measured in the meta-analysis table, WSIPP measures benefits and costs estimated from other outcomes associated with those reported in the evaluation literature. For example, empirical research demonstrates that high school graduation leads to reduced crime. These associated measures provide a more complete picture of the detailed costs and benefits of the program.

²"Others" includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance.

³"Indirect benefits" includes estimates of the net changes in the value of a statistical life and net changes in the deadweight costs of taxation.


Detailed Annual Cost Estimates Per Participant

	Annual cost	Year dollars	Summary	
Program costs	\$1,037	2016	Present value of net program costs (in 2018 dollars)	\$114
Comparison costs	\$1,035	2009		Cost range (+ or -)

Per-participant costs are based on weighted average therapist time, as reported in the included studies. Hourly therapist cost is based on the actuarial estimates of reimbursement by modality (Mercer, 2015, Behavioral Health Data Book for the State of Washington for Rates Effective January 1, 2016). For comparison group costs we use 2010 Washington State DSHS data to estimate the average reimbursement rate for treatment of child and adolescent post-traumatic stress disorder.


The figures shown are estimates of the costs to implement programs in Washington. The comparison group costs reflect either no treatment or treatment as usual, depending on how effect sizes were calculated in the meta-analysis. The cost range reported above reflects potential variation or uncertainty in the cost estimate; more detail can be found in our [Technical Documentation](#).

Benefits Minus Costs Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)




The graph above illustrates the estimated cumulative net benefits per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. We present these cash flows in discounted dollars. If the dollars are negative (bars below \$0 line), the cumulative benefits do not outweigh the cost of the program up to that point in time. The program breaks even when the dollars reach \$0. At this point, the total benefits to participants, taxpayers, and others, are equal to the cost of the program. If the dollars are above \$0, the benefits of the program exceed the initial investment.

Benefits by Perspective Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)



The graph above illustrates the breakdown of the estimated cumulative benefits (not including program costs) per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. These cash flows provide a breakdown of the classification of dollars over time into four perspectives: taxpayer, participant, others, and indirect. "Taxpayers" includes expected savings to government and expected increases in tax revenue. "Participants" includes expected increases in earnings and expenditures for items such as health care and college tuition. "Others" includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance. "Indirect benefits" includes estimates of the changes in the value of a statistical life and changes in the deadweight costs of taxation. If a section of the bar is below the \$0 line, the program is creating a negative benefit, meaning a loss of value from that perspective.



The graph above focuses on the subset of estimated cumulative benefits that accrue to taxpayers. The cash flows are divided into the source of the value.

Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

- Barron, I.G., Abdallah, G., & Smith, P. (2013). Randomized control trial of a CBT trauma recovery program in Palestinian schools. *Journal of Loss and Trauma, 18*(4), 306-321.
- Berger, R., & Gelkopf, M. (2009). School-based intervention for the treatment of tsunami-related distress in children: A quasi-randomized controlled trial. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 78*(6), 364-371.
- Berger, R., Pat-Horenczyk, R., & Gelkopf, M. (2007). School-based intervention for prevention and treatment of elementary-students' terror-related distress in Israel: A quasi-randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 20*(4), 541-551.
- Berkowitz, S.J., Stover, C.S., & Marans, S.R. (2011). The child and family traumatic stress intervention: Secondary prevention for youth at risk of developing PTSD. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 52*(6), 676-685.
- Berliner, L., & Saunders, B.E. (1996). Treating fear and anxiety in sexually abused children: Results of a controlled 2-year follow-up study. *Child Maltreatment, 1*(4), 294-309.
- Burke, M.M. (1988). Short-term group therapy for sexually abused girls: A learning-theory based treatment for negative effects. *Dissertation Abstract International, 49*, 1935.
- Celano, M., Hazzard, A., Webb, C., & McCall, C. (1996). Treatment of traumagenic beliefs among sexually abused girls and their mothers: An evaluation study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 24*(1), 1-17.
- Cohen, J.A., Deblinger, E., Mannarino, A.P., & Steer, R.A. (2004). A multisite, randomized controlled trial for children with sexual abuse-related PTSD symptoms. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 43*(4), 393-402.
- Cohen, J.A., Mannarino, A.P., & Knudsen, K. (2005). Treating sexually abused children: 1 year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 29*(2), 135-145.
- Cohen, J.A., Mannarino, A.P., & Iyengar, S. (2011). Community treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder for children exposed to intimate partner violence: A randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, 165*(1), 16-21.
- Deblinger, E., Lippmann, J., & Steer, R. (1996). Sexually abused children suffering posttraumatic stress symptoms: Initial treatment outcome findings. *Child Maltreatment, 1*(4), 310-321.
- Deblinger, E., Stauffer, L.B., & Steer, R.A. (2001). Comparative efficacies of supportive and cognitive behavioral group therapies for young children who have been sexually abused and their nonoffending mothers. *Child Maltreatment, 6*(4), 332-343.

- Ertl, V., Neuner, F., Pfeiffer, A., Elbert, T., & Schauer, E. (2011). Community-implemented trauma therapy for former child soldiers in Northern Uganda: A randomized controlled trial. *Jama - Journal of the American Medical Association*, 306(5), 503-512.
- Goenjian, A.K., Karayan, I., Pynoos, R.S., Minassian, D., Najarian, L.M., Steinberg, A.M., & Fairbanks, L.A. (1997). Outcome of psychotherapy among early adolescents after trauma. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154(4), 536-542.
- Goldbeck, L., Muche, R., Sachser, C., Tutus, D., & Rosner, R. (2016). Effectiveness of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for children and adolescents: A randomized controlled trial in eight German mental health clinics. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 85(3), 159-170.
- Jensen, T.K., Holt, T., Ormhaug, S.M., Egeland, K., Granly, L., Hoaas, L.C., . . . Wentzel-Larsen, T. (2014). A randomized effectiveness study comparing trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy with therapy as usual for youth. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 43(3), 356-369.
- Jordans, M.J.D., Komproe, I.H., Tol, W.A., Kohrt, B.A., Luitel, N.P., Macy, R.D., & De Jong, J.T.V.M. (2010). Evaluation of a classroom-based psychosocial intervention in conflict-affected Nepal: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 51(7), 818-826.
- Kataoka, S., Stein, B.D., Jaycox, L.H., Wong M., Escudero, P., Tu, W., . . . Fink, A. (2003). A school-based mental health program for traumatized Latino immigrant children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42(3), 311-318
- King, N.J., Tonge, B.J., Mullen, P., Myerson, N., Heyne, D., Rollings, S., . . . Ollendick, T.H. (2000). Treating sexually abused children with posttraumatic stress symptoms: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39(11), 1347-1355.
- Langley, A.K., Gonzalez, A., Sugar, C.A., Solis, D., & Jaycox, L. (2015). Bounce back: Effectiveness of an elementary school-based intervention for multicultural children exposed to traumatic events. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 83(5), 853-855.
- Layne, C.M., Saltzman, W.R., Poppleton, L., Burlingame, G.M., Pasalic, A., Durakovic, E. . . . Pynoos, R.S. (2008). Effectiveness of a school-based group psychotherapy program for war-exposed adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47(9), 1048-1062.
- McMullen, J., O'Callaghan, P., Shannon, C., Black, A., & Eakin, J. (2013). Group trauma-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy with former child soldiers and other war-affected boys in the DR Congo: a randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(11), 1231-1241.
- Murray, L.K., Skavenski, S., Kane, J.C., Mayeya, J., Dorsey, S., Cohen, J.A., Michalopoulos, L.T.M., . . . Bolton, P.A. (2015). Effectiveness of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy among trauma-affected children in Lusaka, Zambia. *Jama Pediatrics*, 169(8), 761.
- O'Callaghan, P., McMullen, J., Shannon, C., Rafferty, H., & Black, A. (2013). A randomized controlled trial of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for sexually exploited, war-affected Congolese girls. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 52(4), 359-369.
- Pityaratstian, N., Piyasil, V., Ketumarn, P., Sitthiraksa, N., Ularntinon, S., & Pariwatcharakul, P. (2015). Randomized controlled trial of group cognitive behavioural therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents exposed to tsunami in Thailand. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 43(5), 549-61.
- Qouta, S.R., Palosaari, E., Diab, M., & Punamaki, R.L. (2012). Intervention effectiveness among war-affected children: a cluster randomized controlled trial on improving mental health. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 25(3), 288-98.
- Ruf, M., Schauer, M., Schauer, E., Elbert, T., Neuner, F., & Catani, C. (2010). Narrative exposure therapy for 7- to 16-year-olds: A randomized controlled trial with traumatized refugee children. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 23(4), 437-445.
- Shein-Szydlo, J., Sukhodolsky, D.G., Ruchkin, V., Kon, D.S., Tejada, M.M., Ramirez, E., Ruchkin, V., . . . Ruchkin, V. (2016). A randomized controlled study of cognitive-behavioral therapy for posttraumatic stress in street children in Mexico City. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 29(5), 406-414.
- Shooshtary, M.H., Moghadam, J.A., & Panaghi, L. (2008). Outcome of cognitive behavioral therapy in adolescents after natural disaster. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 42(5), 466-472.
- Smith, P., Yule, W., Perrin, S., Tranah, T., Dalgleish, T., & Clark, D.M. (2007). Cognitive-behavioral therapy for PTSD in children and adolescents: a preliminary randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46(8), 1051-1061.
- Stein, B.D., Jaycox, L.H., Kataoka, S.H., Wong, M., Tu, W., Elliott, M.N., & Fink, A. (2003). A mental health intervention for schoolchildren exposed to violence: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 290(5), 603-11.
- Tol, W.A., Komproe, I.H., Susanty, D., Jordans, M.J.D., Macy, R.D., & De Jong, J.T.V.M. (2008). School-based mental health intervention for children affected by political violence in Indonesia: a cluster randomized trial. *JAMA: The Journal of The American Medical Association*, 300, 655-662.

For further information, contact:
(360) 664-9800, institute@wsipp.wa.gov

Printed on 07-05-2022



Washington State Institute for Public Policy

The Washington State Legislature created the Washington State Institute for Public Policy in 1983. A Board of Directors—representing the legislature, the governor, and public universities—governs WSIPP and guides the development of all activities. WSIPP's mission is to carry out practical research, at legislative direction, on issues of importance to Washington State.