

Charter schools: urban charter schools Pre-K to 12 Education

Literature review updated August 2013.

As part of WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies, WSIPP determines "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using an approach called meta-analysis. For detail on our methods, see our [Technical Documentation](#). At this time, WSIPP has not yet calculated benefits and costs for this topic.

Program Description: Charter schools have traditionally been located in cities; many are designed to serve minority students in high-poverty areas. A body of literature suggests that charter schools located in urban areas may be more effective than charters located outside of the urban core. The studies in this analysis measure the impact of attending a charter school compared to a traditional public school in urban areas. The analysis includes findings from specific cities (e.g. New York or Chicago), as well as statewide studies that examine impacts by urbanicity. We present the findings for reading scores here.

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes measured	No. of effect sizes	Treatment N	Adjusted effect size and standard error			Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)	
			ES	SE	Age	ES	p-value
Test scores	38	339551	0.044	0.013	12	0.044	0.001

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our [Technical Documentation](#).

Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

- Abdulkadiroglu, A., Angrist, J.D., Dynarski, S.M., Kane, T.J., and Pathak, P.A. (2011). Accountability and flexibility in public schools: Evidence from Boston's charters and pilots. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126(2): 699-748.
- Angrist, J.D., Dynarski, S.M., Kane, T.J., Pathak, P.A., & Walters, C.R. (2012a). *Who benefits from KIPP?* *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*. Advance online publication. doi: 10.1002/pam.21647.
- Angrist, J.D., Pathak, P.A., & Walters, C.R. (2012b). *Explaining Charter School Effectiveness (Working Paper 12-11)*. Cambridge, MA: Department of Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Betts, J.R., Rice, L.A., Zau, A.C., Tang, Y.E., & Koedel, C.R. (2006). *Does school choice work? Effects on student integration and achievement*. San Francisco, CA: Public Policy Institute of California.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes. (CREDO) (2009a). *Charter school performance in Colorado*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.

- Center for Research on Education Outcomes. (CREDO) (2009b). *Charter school performance in Illinois*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes. (CREDO) (2009c). *Charter school performance in the District of Columbia*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes.(CREDO) (2012). *Charter school performance in New Jersey*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes. (CREDO) (2013a). *Charter school performance in Massachusetts*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes.(CREDO) (2013b). *Charter school performance in Michigan*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes. (CREDO) (2013c). *Charter school performance in New York City*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Center for Research on Education Outcomes.
- Dobbie, W., and Fryer, R. (2012). *Getting beneath the veil of effective schools: Evidence from New York City*. Unpublished manuscript, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Hoxby, C. M., Kang, J. L., & Murarka, S. (2009). *Technical Report: How New York City Charter Schools Affect Achievement*. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research
- Hoxby, C.M., & Rockoff, J.E. (2005). *The impact of charter schools on student achievement*. Cambridge, MA: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/www/external/labor/seminars/adp/pdfs/2005hoxby.pdf>.
- Imberman, S.A. (2011). Achievement and behavior in charter schools: Drawing a more complete picture. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 93(2): 416-435.
- Nicotera, A., Mendiburo, M., & Berends, M. (2009). *Charter school effects in an urban school district: An analysis of student achievement gains in Indianapolis*. Paper presented at the National Center on School Choice Conference at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN.
- Ross, S. M., McDonald, A. J., Alberg, M., & McSparrin-Gallagher, B. (2007). Achievement and Climate Outcomes for the Knowledge Is Power Program in an Inner-City Middle School. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 12(2): 137-165.
- Supovitz, J., & Rikoon, S. (2010). *Early achievement impacts of the Harlem Success Academy charter schools in New York City*. Unpublished manuscript. Graduate School of Education, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.
- Witte, J. F., Wolf, P. J., Carlson, D., & Dean, A. (2012). *Milwaukee Independent Charter Schools Study: Final Report on Four-Year Achievement Gains (SCDP Milwaukee Evaluation Report #31)*. Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas, Department of Education Reform, School Choice Demonstration Project.
- Woodworth, K.R., David, J.L., Guha, R., Wang, H., & Lopez-Torkos, A. (2008). San Francisco Bay area KIPP schools: *A study of early implementation and achievement (Final Report)*. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
- Zimmer, R., & Buddin, R. (2006). Charter school performance in two large urban districts. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 60(2): 307-326.
- Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, S., & Witte, J. (2012). Examining charter student achievement effects across seven states. *Economics of Education Review*, 31(2): 213-224.

For further information, contact:
(360) 664-9800, institute@wsipp.wa.gov

Printed on 03-29-2024



Washington State Institute for Public Policy

The Washington State Legislature created the Washington State Institute for Public Policy in 1983. A Board of Directors—representing the legislature, the governor, and public universities—governs WSIPP and guides the development of all activities. WSIPP's mission is to carry out practical research, at legislative direction, on issues of importance to Washington State.