Cognitive-behavioral coping-skills therapy for alcohol or drug use disorders

Substance Use Disorders: Treatment for Adults


The WSIPP benefit-cost analysis examines, on an apples-to-apples basis, the monetary value of programs or policies to determine whether the benefits from the program exceed its costs. WSIPP’s research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies has three main steps. First, we determine “what works” (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using a statistical technique called meta-analysis. Second, we calculate whether the benefits of a program exceed its costs. Third, we estimate the risk of investing in a program by testing the sensitivity of our results. For more detail on our methods, see our Technical Documentation.

Program Description: Cognitive-behavioral coping-skills therapy is a manualized, standalone treatment for alcohol and/or drug abuse or dependence. This intervention emphasizes identifying high-risk situations that could lead to relapse such as social situations, depression, etc. and developing skills to cope with those situations. Clients engage in problem solving, role playing, and homework practice. The intervention is often provided in an individual therapy format but can be conducted in groups as well. Treatment in the included studies occurred over an average of three months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit-Cost Summary Statistics Per Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits to:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxpayers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total benefits</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net program cost</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits minus cost</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2016). The chance the benefits exceed the costs are derived from a Monte Carlo risk analysis. The details on this, as well as the economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in our Technical Documentation.
### Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates Per Participant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits from changes to:</th>
<th>Benefits to:</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Taxpayers</th>
<th>Others&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Indirect&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property loss associated with alcohol abuse or dependence</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor market earnings associated with illicit drug abuse or dependence</td>
<td></td>
<td>$912</td>
<td>$414</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,918</td>
<td>$5,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care associated with illicit drug abuse or dependence</td>
<td></td>
<td>$52</td>
<td>$265</td>
<td>$260</td>
<td>$132</td>
<td>$710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment for deadweight cost of program</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>($132)</td>
<td>($132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$968</strong></td>
<td><strong>$680</strong></td>
<td><strong>$271</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,918</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,837</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>In addition to the outcomes measured in the meta-analysis table, WSIPP measures benefits and costs estimated from other outcomes associated with those reported in the evaluation literature. For example, empirical research demonstrates that high school graduation leads to reduced crime. These associated measures provide a more complete picture of the detailed costs and benefits of the program.

<sup>2</sup>“Others” includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance.

<sup>3</sup>“Indirect benefits” includes estimates of the net changes in the value of a statistical life and net changes in the deadweight costs of taxation.

### Detailed Annual Cost Estimates Per Participant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual cost</th>
<th>Year dollars</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program costs</td>
<td>$842</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Present value of net program costs (in 2016 dollars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison costs</td>
<td>$584</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Cost range (+ or -)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The per-participant cost of treatment is the weighted average estimate for studies included in the analysis. We calculated this average estimate using Washington’s Medicaid hourly reimbursement rates for individual and group outpatient therapy multiplied by the weighted average of total hours of outpatient individual and group therapy across the studies (averaging 18 total hours). Comparison group costs are computed in a similar manner based on treatment received in the studies (individual or group treatment as usual or no treatment).

The figures shown are estimates of the costs to implement programs in Washington. The comparison group costs reflect either no treatment or treatment as usual, depending on how effect sizes were calculated in the meta-analysis. The cost range reported above reflects potential variation or uncertainty in the cost estimate; more detail can be found in our [Technical Documentation](#).
Detailed Annual Cost Estimates Per Participant

The graph above illustrates the estimated cumulative net benefits per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. We present these cash flows in non-discounted dollars to simplify the “break-even” point from a budgeting perspective. If the dollars are negative (bars below $0 line), the cumulative benefits do not outweigh the cost of the program up to that point in time. The program breaks even when the dollars reach $0. At this point, the total benefits to participants, taxpayers, and others, are equal to the cost of the program. If the dollars are above $0, the benefits of the program exceed the initial investment.

### Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes measured</th>
<th>No. of effect sizes</th>
<th>Treatment N</th>
<th>Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis</th>
<th>Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First time ES is estimated</td>
<td>Second time ES is estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use disorder</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment**</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drug use disorder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic stress</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-0.269</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WSIPP does not include this outcome when conducting benefit-cost analysis for this program.**

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.
WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our Technical Documentation.
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