Need-based grants (for college students) Higher Education

Literature review updated December 2017.

As part of WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies, WSIPP determines "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using an approach called meta-analysis. For detail on our methods, see our **Technical Documentation**. At this time, WSIPP has not yet calculated benefits and costs for this topic.

Program Description: Need-based grants provide means-tested financial assistance to low-income students. Need-based grants can come from many sources and in various forms. In this metaanalysis, we focus on need-based federal and state grants with minimal eligibility requirements. Example programs in this review include the Federal Pell Grant Program and state grant programs similar to Washington's State Need Grant. Grants funded by private entities may also be included if their implementation is similar to that of federal and state need-based grants. We exclude institutional need-based aid, as well as other grant programs that have conditions for aid receipt other than income (such as work study programs or merit-based aid). The studies in this meta-analysis evaluate the effects of need-based grants for students who are already enrolled in college.

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects							
Outcomes measured	No. of effect sizes	Treatment N	Adjusted effect size and standard error			Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)	
			ES	SE	Age	ES	p-value
Earnings [*]	1	13860	0.053	0.022	25	0.053	0.015
Graduate with 4-year degree	2	14460	0.101	0.015	24	0.101	0.001
College grade point average	7	39463	0.017	0.011	22	0.017	0.117
Persistence within 1st year	4	7797	0.082	0.030	19	0.152	0.001
Graduate with 2-year degree	2	772	-0.004	0.105	22	-0.004	0.973
Transfer from 2- to 4-year college	1	397	0.019	0.071	22	0.019	0.793
Persistence into 2nd year	8	37497	0.051	0.017	20	0.080	0.003
Persistence into 3rd year	4	1820	0.023	0.037	21	0.023	0.526

^{*}The effect size for this outcome indicates percentage change, not a standardized mean difference effect size.

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our **Technical Documentation**.

Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

Anderson, D.M. (2015). Essays in public economics. (Doctoral dissertation).

- Bert, M.R. (2013). Evaluating the impact of Ohio's College Opportunity Grant on first year student success. (Doctoral dissertation). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Graduate School of Education.
- Bettinger, E. (2015). Need-based aid and college persistence: The effects of the Ohio College Opportunity Grant. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 37 (1), 102S-119S.
- Chen, R., & DesJardins, S. (2008). Exploring the effects of financial aid on the gap in student dropout risks by income level. *Research in Higher Education*, 49(1), 1-18.
- Davidson, J.C. (2015). The effects of a state need-based access grant on traditional and nontraditional student persistence. *Higher Education Policy, 28* (2), 235-257.
- Denning, J.T., Marx, B.M., & Turner, L.J. (2017). ProPelled: The effects of grants on graduation, earnings, and welfare. Cambridge, Mass: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Friedmann, E.Z. (2016). Addressing disparities in postsecondary success: The role of need-based financial aid. (Doctoral dissertation). University of California, Davis.
- Goldrick-Rab, S., Kelchen, R., Harris, D., & Benson, J. (2016). Reducing income inequality in educational attainment: experimental evidence on the impact of financial aid on college completion. *American Journal of Sociology*, 121(6), 1762-1817.
- Mendoza, P., Mendez, J.P., & Malcom, Z. (2009). Financial aid and persistence in community colleges: Assessing the effectiveness of federal and state financial aid programs in Oklahoma. *Community College Review, 37* (2), 112-135.
- St. John, E.P., Hu, S., & Weber, J. (2001). State policy and the affordability of public higher education: The influence of state grants on persistence in Indiana. *Research in Higher Education*, 42(4), 401-428.

For further information, contact: (360) 664-9800, institute@wsipp.wa.gov

Printed on 03-28-2024

Washington State Institute for Public Policy

The Washington State Legislature created the Washington State Institute for Public Policy in 1983. A Board of Directors-representing the legislature, the governor, and public universities-governs WSIPP and guides the development of all activities. WSIPP's mission is to carry out practical research, at legislative direction, on issues of importance to Washington State.