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## Pre-K and elementary bilingual instruction for English language learners Pre-K to 12 Education <br> Literature review updated J une 2014.

As part of WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies, WSIPP determines "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using an approach called meta- analysis. For detail on our methods, see our Technical Documentation. At this time, WSIPP has not yet calculated benefits and costs for this topic.

Program Description: Bilingual instructional programs provide English language learner (ELL) students with classroom instruction partially in their native language and partially in English. The evaluations included in this analysis compare programs that use bilingual instruction to those in which instruction is conducted entirely in English, such as English as a Second Language (ESL) teaching strategies.

| Meta-Analysis of Program Effects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcomes measured | No. of effect sizes | Treatment N | Adjusted effect size and standard error |  |  | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) |  |
|  |  |  | ES | SE | Age | ES | $p$-value |
| Test scores | 21 | 58227 | 0.014 | 0.006 | 9 | 0.014 | 0.016 |

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment $\mathbf{N}$ represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. M ore details about these adjustments can be found in our Technical Documentation.
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