

CHILD SUPPORT PATTERNS

IN WASHINGTON STATE: 1993–1994

Steve Aos and Kate J. Stirling

March 1995

WASHINGTON STATE INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY

110 Fifth Avenue Southeast, Suite 214 Post Office Box 40999 Olympia, Washington 98504-0999 Telephone: (360) 586-2677 FAX: (360) 586-2793 URL: http://www.wsipp.wa.gov

Document No. 95-03-3901

Table of Contents

Exec	Executive Summary1						
Intro	duction2						
I.	Court-Ordered Child Support Levels in Washington5						
II.	Court-Ordered Deviations from Washington's Guidelines9						
III.	Court-Ordered Child Support Obligations From One Parent to Another13						
IV.	Comparison of Washington's Court-Ordered Child Support Guidelines with Research on the Cost of Raising Children15						
V.	Comparison of Washington's Guidelines with Other States						

Appendix

•	Child Support Order Summary Report	.20
•	Washington State Child Support Schedule—Economic Table	.21
•	Washington State Child Support Schedule—Work Sheets	.22

The authors wish to thank Tom Sykes, Roxanne Lieb, and Staci Thomas for their assistance with this study.

Lists of Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 1,	Median Level of Court-Ordered Child Support	5
Figure 2,	The Number of Children in Families with Court-Ordered Child Support	6
Figure 3,	The Dollar Amount of Monthly Court-Ordered Child Support	7
Figure 4,	The Level of Monthly Court-Ordered Child Support	8
Figure 5,	Court-Ordered Deviations from the State Child Support Guidelines	9
Figure 6,	Court-Ordered Deviations from State Guidelines, by family size	10
Figure 7,	Court-Ordered Deviations from State Guidelines, by income group	10
Figure 8,	National Estimates of Child-Raising Costs and	
-	Median Washington Court-Ordered Child Support Levels	15

Tables

Table 1,	Court-Ordered Child Support Payments from One Parent to Another	14
Table 2,	National Estimates of Child-Raising Costs and	
	Median Washington Court-Ordered Child Support Levels	16
Table 3,	The Percent of Washington Child-Support Orders	
	Above, Within, or Below the National Child-Raising Costs Range	16
Table 4,	State Rankings from a Survey of 1993 State Child Support Guidelines	18

Executive Summary

In 1990 the Washington Legislature responded to the requirements of the federal 1988 Family Policy Act and created a presumptive statewide schedule for child support determination. In 1993, the Washington State Institute for Public Policy was asked by legislative leaders to analyze patterns of actual child support orders, to compare the state's support schedule with other states, and to compare the guidelines to the cost of raising children.

To conduct this research, the Institute relied on a sample of child support summary reports, which are completed in county superior courts when child support decisions are made. The time period for the reports in the sample was between June 1993 and May 1994.

The findings can be organized around four central questions:

1. What proportion of parental income is ordered to be spent on child support?

When looking at the combined net income of the parents in the study group, the median level of court-ordered support for one-child families is 21 percent; for two-children families it is 30 percent, and for three-children families, it is 37 percent.

2. How frequently do the courts deviate from the statewide guidelines?

The courts deviated from the presumptive guidelines in 54 percent of the cases examined in this study. In 47 percent of the cases, courts lowered the amount from the standard level, they raised the amount in 7 percent of the cases, and they made no changes from the standard level in 46 percent of the cases.

3. How do child support orders in Washington compare to the costs of raising children?

No consensus has emerged nationally on the precise costs of raising children. The economic studies in this area typically describe the costs as a share of parental income. While a specific dollar value has not been uniformly accepted in the national cost literature, there is consensus that these studies, taken as a whole, form a low-to-high range for these costs. The median level of Washington's child support orders falls within this range.

4. How do Washington's guidelines compare to other states?

No study of actual child support levels has compared all fifty states. One study compared the guidelines in all states, but it did not include the deviations courts make from the guidelines. The study focused on three case examples. For the first two examples, which reflect lower and middle parental income levels, Washington's guidelines were high in comparison to other states. For the higher income case, Washington's guidelines were in the middle of the distribution of states.

Introduction

Background:¹

House Bill 2888, passed in the 1990 session of the Washington Legislature, created a presumptive schedule for child support awards in Washington State. That legislation also directed the Washington Office of the Administrator for the Courts (OAC) to create a form summarizing the amount and calculation of child support awards. When a decree or modification is entered, these *Child Support Order Summary Reports* must be filed with the county clerk and forwarded to OAC *(see the Appendix for a copy of this form).* These Child Support Order Summary Reports are collected and maintained, but are **not** regularly entered on a data base. Although limited in scope, this information is the **only** data source for child support orders in Washington State during a given time period.

In 1991, the Washington State Institute for Public Policy published an economic analysis of a representative cross-section of these Child Support Summary Reports.²

The chairs of the Judiciary Committee of the Washington House of Representatives and the Law and Justice Committee of the Washington Senate, in 1993, asked the Washington State Institute for Public Policy:

- to analyze patterns of child support orders in Washington State for the most recent year feasible,
- to compare, if feasible, information about Washington's child support schedule with similar information from other states, and
- to provide information about the cost of raising children relevant to an assessment of Washington's presumptive child support schedule.

Study Methods:

Data for this study were drawn from the approximately 18,000 Child Support Summary Reports received at the OAC between June 1993 and May 1994. From these 18,000 forms, a random sample of 7,934 forms was drawn and entered on a computerized data base. Further analysis of this sample showed that:

• 3,044 were support orders coded by the courts as <u>paternity cases</u> and were assumed to be public assistance cases. The cause number stamped on the form by court clerks indicates paternity cases. We analyzed these orders and found that their average monthly family income, family size, and child support order

¹ Draft reports, based on preliminary analyses of data, were presented to the Washington House Judiciary and Senate Law and Justice Committees in September 1994 and to the House and Senate Law and Justice Committees in January 1995. This final report benefits from an extensive review of all preliminary findings and a more thorough analysis of the data.

²See Kate J. Stirling, "The Economic Consequences of Child Support in Washington State" (Olympia, Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 1991).

amounts verified this assumption that these cases were substantially different from non-paternity cases.

- 2,868 were support orders where it was not clear whether it was or was not a paternity case.
- **2,022** were support orders coded by the courts as <u>non-paternity cases</u>. These cases represent those families getting divorces in 1993-94 or modifications of earlier support orders, where either the father or the mother was listed as the payor of the child support order.

This study uses the third category of support orders (numbering 2,022), *where either the father or the mother were ordered to pay child support*, and that were coded by the court as non-paternity cases. Using paternity cases or those cases where paternity may have been an issue, would have skewed both family income and the child support order amounts **downward**. The purpose of this study is to analyze child support patterns for court orders of divorces and modifications in 1993-94, not the special cases of paternity establishment.

What This Study Is:

This study describes child support order patterns at a point in time, that is 1993-94, in Washington State. This study is descriptive, and offers no conclusions or recommendations. **Five** major areas of information are provided:

- 1. What proportion of combined parental income is ordered for child support?
- 2. How frequently do the courts deviate from the statewide presumptive guidelines?
- 3. What are the patterns of child support orders from one parent to another?
- 4. How do Washington's court-ordered child support levels compare to the cost of raising children?
- 5. How do Washington's guidelines compare with other states?

Data collected, coded and analyzed from Washington's Child Support Summary Reports provided the basis for some of this analysis. This information, however, is quite limited in scope and breadth. It allows for descriptions of income, child support order amounts, deviations, and other limited information. Other information on patterns from other states and on the cost of raising children was collected from published research.

What This Study is NOT:

This study is **not** a study of all divorced families in Washington State. Instead, it includes a cross-section view of child support orders and modifications of orders filed during a one-year period (1993-94).

A comprehensive picture of all divorced families in Washington State, together with the impact of changing child support awards, child support payments, and deviations in both awards and payments, could only be gained from tracking a sample of such families over several years. Such a picture is beyond the resources available for this study.

I. Court-Ordered Child Support Levels in Washington

How are court-ordered child support levels set in Washington?

As established in RCW 26.19, courts in Washington use a "combined monthly net income" approach in setting child support levels. Using a worksheet developed by the Office of the Administrator for the Courts (OAC), the after-tax incomes of both the father and the mother are listed for the court. Based on the combined net income of the parents and on the number and age of the children, a court then determines the *basic child support* obligation from Washington's "Child Support Economic Table."³ A court can then modify this basic obligation reflecting extraordinary health care expenses, day care expenses, education expenses, long distance transportation expenses, and other special expenses.⁴ The result of these calculations is the *standard child support obligation* of the parents, which is allocated to each parent in proportion to his or her share of total combined net income. Courts can then "deviate" from this standard calculation on a caseby-case basis.

What percent of monthly combined parental net income goes for courtordered child support in Washington?

The percent varies depending on the size of the family, among other factors. The study results show that in 1993-94 for a family with one child, the median level of court-ordered child support is 21 percent of combined net family income. This means that half of the families with one child have support levels below 21 percent of net family income while half of the families with one child have a greater level of court-ordered child support. This

figure reflects the *combined* parental support for the child. It counts both the court-ordered transfer by the non-custodial parent to the custodial parent, as well as the court-determined support obligation of the custodial parent.

For a family with two children, the study shows that the median support level is 30 percent. For three children, the median support level is 37 percent. Figure 1 shows these courtordered support levels for different family sizes.



Figure 1

³RCW 26.19.020. (Also, see Appendix).

⁴RCW 26.19.080.

How many children are in the average family with court-ordered child support?

The study results show that families in 1993-94 with court-ordered child support had, on average, **1.7 children**. Of all the families in the study, 50 percent had one child; 37 percent had two children; 9 percent had three children; 3 percent had four children; and 1 percent had 5 children. Figure 2 shows this distribution graphically.



Do the Dollar Amounts of Court-Ordered Child Support Increase as the Incomes of the Parents Increase?

Yes. Washington's Child Support Guidelines provide for a larger monthly level of child support as the parents' combined income increases. Figure 3 shows the data for a one-child family.⁵ The variation in the data reflects several factors: the age of the child; differences in the amounts courts determine for extraordinary health care expenses, day care expenses, education expenses, and long distance transportation expenses; and any deviations from the standard child support schedule ordered by the court. However, parents' combined income is the major determinant of the dollar amount of the child support order.



Figure 3 The Dollar Amount of Monthly Court-Ordered Child Support by the Income of the Parents of One-Child Families

⁵Figures 3 and 4 plot the data for one-child families. Since the number of children in the family is one of the main factors determining the level of child support, it is easier to discern the effects of income on child support levels for a particular family size, rather than mixing data on different family sizes. One-child families were chosen because they are the most numerous; 50 percent of all child support families have just one child.

Does the Proportion of Income Ordered for Child Support Increase as the Income of the Parents Increase?

No. The data can also be expressed as a percent of the parents' income, rather than as the dollar amount of monthly child support. For a one-child family, the median level of courtordered child support is 21 percent of combined net family income. The line on Figure 4 shows this 21 percent median figure. The chart also shows a considerable variation in the percent of family income that a court orders for child support. As mentioned above, the reasons for the variation include the different amounts calculated for extraordinary expenses as well as any other deviations from the standard child support schedule ordered by the court.





II. Court-Ordered Deviations from Washington's Guidelines

Based on the study of child support orders in Washington for 1993-94, how often do courts deviate from Washington's standard child support guideline? And by how much?

From the study results, in about **47** percent of the child support cases in Washington, a court lowers the child support level from the standard amount in the state guidelines; in **7** percent of the cases, a court raises the amount indicated in the state guidelines; and in the remaining **46** percent of the cases, courts do not deviate from the standard calculation in the state guidelines. Figure 5 shows this distribution graphically. Thus in about **54 percent** of the cases examined in this study, courts deviated from the presumptive standards in Washington.⁶



Of the cases where a court deviated from the standard calculation by lowering the obligation, the median reduction was \$129 per month. In those cases where a court increased the standard calculation, the median increase was \$58 per month.

⁶The deviations discussed in this section cover all instances when a court ordered a different amount from the standard child support guideline. Defined this way, there is the possibility that courts deviate from the standard amount just to "round" the calculated amount to the nearest whole dollar level. In this case, a "deviation" would not be for a substantial reason, but just for the convenience of ordering a rounded number. To test for this, a dollar band around the amount of the deviation was used in the study. For example, if a \$10 a month band around the deviations is allowed for rounding, then the number of reported "deviations" in the study drops, but only slightly. In the \$10 case, the percent of court orders involving a deviation drops to 50 percent (from 54 percent without the \$10 "rounding" band). If the band is widened to \$25 dollars, then the number of cases with deviations drops to 47 percent.

Based on the study, do deviations vary with the number of children in the family?

Yes. The results from the study show that for a one-child family, when courts lower the standard support obligation. the median decrease for the non-custodial parent is about 32 percent. When courts increase the support obligation for a one-child family, the median increase is about 18 percent. Figure 6 shows these results along with data for twoand three-child families. The most significant finding is that courts have tended to lower support obligations more, and raise support obligations less,



for three-child families than for one- or two-child families.

Do the court-ordered deviations vary with the amount of income of the noncustodial parent?

Yes. The results from the study show that when courts have lowered the non-custodial parent's standard obligation, they have done so by a median 65 percent for those cases



where the non-custodial parent's income level is between \$0 and \$1,000 per month. It is also in the lowest income groups that the largest percent increases occurred for those cases where courts ordered an upward deviation from the standard calculation. Figure 7 shows these results along with the median percentage deviations for different income groupings. For several of these income groupings, the sample size is small and the percentages reported here should be viewed cautiously. The most significant finding is the large downward deviation for the lowest income group.

Do deviations vary depending on whether the court order is an original court order or a modification to an existing order?

No. In the sample of cases used in this study, about 68 percent were original court orders and the other 32 percent were modifications to existing court orders. For the **original orders**, courts deviated from the standard support guidelines about 52 percent of the time. For the court actions **modifying** the original orders, courts deviated from the standard support guidelines an almost identical 53 percent of the time. Thus the decision of a court to deviate from the standard support calculation in the state guidelines seems to have little to do with whether the order is an original order or a modification decision.

Are deviations from the State Guidelines more likely to occur when a superior court judge makes the decision, or when an administrative law judge decides?

From the data in the study, it appears that very few of the cases are decided by administrative law judges. Superior court judges decided 99 percent of the cases in the sample and deviated from the State guidelines 53 percent of the time. In the 1 percent of the cases decided by administrative law judges, deviations occurred in 56 percent of the orders.

Do the courts give reasons when deviations are made from the State Guidelines?

In 38 percent of the cases reviewed in this study, no reasons for deviating from the State Guidelines were recorded on the Child Support Summary Report. It is not known whether this was because no reason was given in the actual order, or whether the reasons were just not reported on the Summary Report. Of those cases where courts listed reasons for deviations on the Summary Reports, 91 percent of time the deviation was related to parental factors, as opposed to the needs of the children. Particular reasons listed for the deviations include: other children to support, agreement between the parties, time spent with children, and low or high income of the parents.

How do deviations in Washington compare to deviations in other states?

There is a lack of consistent information to answer this question. The U.S. Office of Child Support Enforcement will be conducting an analysis of deviations in ten states (including Washington). This study is scheduled for completion by September 30, 1995.⁷ Part of the difficulty in comparing states is the lack of a consistent way of defining what a "deviation" is among the states. For example, in Washington, a judge can modify the amount of child support in the state's economic table by adding support for day care expenses. This modification, allowed under RCW 26.19.080, is not considered a deviation from the Washington's Guideline. In 26 other states, however, day care expenses are listed as reasons for "deviating" from the guidelines.⁸

Are there awards for post-secondary education in the original court orders, or in the subsequent modifications to the original orders?

<u>Provisions</u> for post-secondary education occurred in 24 percent of the original court orders and in 21 percent of orders modifying the original orders. <u>Actual dollar awards</u> for postsecondary education, on the other hand, were provided for in about 2 percent of the original orders, and in about 7 percent of the modifying orders.

⁷Telephone conversation with Robert Bleimann, a contractor to the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement, February 13, 1995.

⁸See U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, (1994), *Child Support Guidelines: The Next Generation*, pp86-87.

III. Court-Ordered Child Support Obligations from One Parent to Another

What percent of monthly net income is a divorced father or mother ordered to pay in child support?

The results of the study show that when fathers are ordered by courts to pay child support, they are ordered to pay 23 percent of their median net income. This means that half of the orders for fathers are below 23 percent of the fathers' net income and half are above. When mothers are ordered to pay, the median amount is 17 percent of mothers' net income. Table 1 shows these numbers along with data on how the court-ordered obligations vary for the father and the mother depending on the income of the parent ordered to pay, and on the number of children in the family.

The last section of Table 1 shows data on the median dollar amounts of the court-ordered payments. When fathers are ordered to pay, the median monthly payment is \$421; when mothers are ordered to pay, the median amount is \$116. Part of the reason for the difference in these two numbers relates to the *relative* income levels of fathers and mothers. From the sample data, in those cases where fathers are ordered to pay child support, the median net income of fathers is \$1,717 while the net income of custodial mothers is \$1,000. In those cases where mothers are ordered to pay child support, the median net income of mothers is \$1,025 while the net income of custodial fathers is \$1,797. Thus in both situations the fathers' share of total combined family income is about 63 percent. Since the Washington Child Support Guidelines allocate the total amount of support to each parent based on his or her share of total income, then fathers will typically pay more because they tend to have more income—either when they are the proposed payees.

It needs to be stressed that the number of cases in the sample used in this study is very small for some of these sub-classifications, especially for those cases where the mother is ordered to pay child support. Conclusions should be very tentative when the sample sizes are small.

Table 1Court-Ordered Child Support PaymentsFrom One Parent to Another

	When the Parent C	Ordered to Pay is the
	Father	Mother
Court Order as Percent of Monthly Net Income		
Median from Sample	23%	17%
Maximum from Sample	93%	75%
Minimum from Sample	0%	0%
Court Order as Median Percent of Monthly Net Income	9	
By the Income Level of the Parent Ordered to Pay		
Less Than \$1,500	23%	16%
\$1,500 to \$2,499	25%	17%
\$2,500 to \$3,499	21%	21%
More Than \$3,500	20%	18%
Court Order as Median Percent of Monthly Net Income By the Number of Kids in the Family	9	
One Child	21%	15%
Two Children	29%	19%
Three Children	37%	16%
Four Children	37%	21%
Monthly Dollar Amount of the Court-Ordered Payment		
Median from Sample	\$421	\$116
Maximum from Sample	\$2,000	\$825
Minimum from Sample	\$0	\$0

IV. Comparison of Washington's Court-ordered Child Support Levels with Research on the Cost of Raising Children

Various studies of child-raising costs have been conducted nationally. These studies analyze costs in terms of a percent share of family income. There is little consensus regarding the percent share needed for child-raising costs. Rather, the theoretical literature seems to conclude that the studies, taken as a group, form a high-to-low range of what it costs to raise children.⁹

Based on the literature and the results from this study, Washington's child support guidelines—and the deviations courts make from them—put median court-ordered child support levels in Washington within the high-to-low range of cost estimates of raising children. Figure 8 below, and Table 2 on the next page, show the results of the various cost studies along with Washington's median child support levels from the study. For a one-child family, the estimated theoretical costs of raising a child range from 15 percent to 33 percent of family net income—Washington's actual median support level is 21 percent for a one-child family. For a two-child family, the theoretical costs range from 25 percent to 45 percent—Washington's actual level is 30 percent. For a three-child family, the estimated child-raising costs range from 33 percent to 55 percent—Washington's actual median child support level is 37 percent.



⁹See U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, (1994), *Child Support Guidelines: The Next Generation*, p22. See also Andrea H. Beller and John W. Graham, (1993), *Small Change: the Economics of Child Support*, Yale University Press, p204.

Table 2National Estimates of Child Raising Costs andMedian Washington Court-Ordered Child Support Levels

Child Cost as a Percent of Net Income By Family Size(1)

Study by Author	Number of Children in Family							
	One	Two	Three					
Betson I (2)(4)	31%	45%	55%					
Betson II (2)	24%	33%	36%					
Espenshade (2)	27%	43%	53%					
Lazear & Michael I (2)	17%	28%	37%					
Turchi (2)	n/a	29%	n/a					
Olson (2)	24%	40%	53%					
USDA (2)	28%	45%	53%					
Lazear & Michael II (3)(4)	15%	25%	33%					
Betson III (5)	33%	45%	51%					
Maximum of Listed Studies	33%	45%	55%					
Minimum of Listed Studies	15%	25%	33%					
Median Court-Ordered Child Support	Median Court-Ordered Child Support							
Levels in Washington (see § I of this report)	21%	30%	37%					

<u>Notes</u>

(1) All values for the listed national studies have been converted from gross to net income figures by using .75 to account for income and payroll taxes.

(2) As reported in Andrea H. Beller and John W. Graham, (1993), *Small Change: the Economics of Child Support*, Yale University Press, Table 6.11, page 206.

(3) As reported in Laurie J. Bassi and Burt S. Barnow, (1993), "Expenditures on Children and Child Support Guidelines," *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, v12 n3, Table 1, page 485.

(4) As reported in U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, (1994), *Child Support Guidelines: The Next Generation*, p23.

(5) Calculated from the results in David Betson et al., (1992), "Trade-Offs Implicit in Child-Support Guidelines," *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, v11, n1, Table 2, page 8.

Table 3 shows the percent of child support cases in Washington that lie above, within, or below the high-to-low range of national studies on the cost of raising a child. For a one-child family, 6 percent of the cases looked at in this study had child-support levels above the national range, 78 percent were within the national cost range, and 16 percent were below the national range. For three-child families, 35 percent of the cases had child-support levels below the national range while 61 percent were within the range.

Table 3

The Percent of Washington Child-Support Orders Above, Within, or Below the National Child-Raising Cost Range

	Number of Children in Family			
	One	Two	Three	
Above the National Cost Range	6%	6%	4%	
Within the National Cost Range	78%	68%	61%	
Below the National Cost Range	16%	27%	35%	

V. Comparison of Washington's Guidelines with Other States

How do Washington's child support levels compare to those in other states?

No study of *actual* child support levels has been done comparing all fifty states. Under the federal Family Support Act of 1988, each state is required to establish presumptive statewide guidelines. Courts can then "deviate" from these state guidelines on a case by case basis. To date, no study has compared the actual, post-deviation, support amounts in the states. As mentioned earlier in this report, the U.S. Office of Child Support Enforcement will be conducting an analysis of deviations in ten states (including Washington). This study is scheduled for completion by September 30, 1995.¹⁰

One study, done at Indiana University, has compared the child support *guidelines* in all fifty states.¹¹ That study, because it only looks at state guidelines, does not take into account the deviations that courts make from the guidelines. As discussed elsewhere in this report, courts in Washington have often lowered the amounts prescribed in this state's guidelines.

With this limitation in mind, the results of the Indiana University study are shown on Table 4. The study compares the amount of money that each state's guidelines indicate a non-custodial parent (assumed in the study to be a father) should pay to a custodial parent (assumed to be the mother). The chart plots the range of results for all 50 states. The data for Washington are highlighted. The study provides three cases:

- CASE A. For a father with a gross income of \$720 per month, a mother with gross income of \$480, and two children, the state guidelines in the 50 states would suggest support payments by the father ranging from \$15 per month (in Montana) to \$327 (in Indiana). The average figure for the United States for this case is \$202 while for Washington the figure is \$287.
- CASE B. When the father's income is \$1,500 a month, the mother's income is \$1,000, and again with a two-child family, the monthly support payments range from \$253 (in West Virginia) to \$516 (in Connecticut). The U.S. average is \$394 while Washington's guidelines suggest \$501.
- CASE C. Finally, when the father's gross income is \$2,640 per month and the mother's income is \$1,760, the range in monthly support payments is from \$391 (in West Virginia) to \$851 (in Connecticut). The U.S. average is \$605 while Washington's guidelines indicate \$639.

¹⁰Telephone conversation with Robert Bleimann, a contractor to the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement, February 13, 1995.

¹¹Pirog-Good, Maureen A., and Mullins, Daniel R., "Legislated Inequalities: 1993 Child Support Guidelines," draft paper obtained from the author.

Table 4 State Rankings from a Survey of 1993 State Child Support Guidelines

Monthly Child Support Awards (Dollars) Yielded by State Guidelines for Three Cases (The numbers do not include court-ordered deviations from the State Guidelines)

Case A*		Case B*		Case C*	
Father's Gross Income:	\$720	Father's Gross Income:	\$1,500	Father's Gross Income:	\$2,640
Mother's Gross Income:	<u>\$480</u>	Mother's Gross Income:	\$1,000	Mother's Gross Income:	<u>\$1,760</u>
Combined Gross Income:	\$1,200	Combined Gross Income:	\$2,500	Combined Gross Income:	\$4,400
Indiana	\$327	Connecticut	\$516	Connecticut	\$851
Rhode Island	\$315	Washington	\$501	Massachusetts	\$776
Maryland	\$295	Indiana	\$485	California	\$740
Kentucky	\$293	Rhode Island	\$480	Florida	\$709
Missouri	\$293	California	\$467	New Jersey	\$702
Virginia	\$289	Florida	\$460	New York	\$700
Washington	\$287	Massachusetts	\$458	Vermont	\$696
Maine	\$286	Arizona	\$453	Indiana	\$687
Arizona	\$284	Maryland	\$449	Rhode Island	\$677
New Mexico	\$283	Kentucky	\$448	Georgia	\$673
North Carolina	\$272	New Jersey	\$446	Nevada	\$660
Ohio	\$266	Virginia	\$443	Wisconsin	\$660
Louisiana	\$265	Missouri	\$440	Arizona	\$658
Florida	\$262	Vermont	\$439	New Hampshire	\$656
California	\$261	New York	\$436	Maryland	\$655
Alabama	\$260	Michigan	\$433	Tennessee	\$654
Colorado	\$260	New Mexico	\$433	Idaho	\$647
New Jersey	\$259	North Carolina	\$432	Louisiana	\$645
Oklahoma	\$258	Louisiana	\$426	Virginia	\$641
South Carolina	\$256	Maine	\$425	Kentucky	\$640
Pennsylvania	\$254	Idaho	\$424	Washington	\$639
Idaho	\$245	Alabama	\$417	North Carolina	\$638
Kansas	\$225	Ohio	\$417	Alabama	\$622
Tennessee	\$197	Pennsylvania	\$415	Missouri	\$620
Iowa	\$187	New Hampshire	\$412	Wyoming	\$620
Georgia	\$184	Hawaii	\$410	Michigan	\$616
Nevada	\$180	Colorado	\$409	New Mexico	\$612
Wisconsin	\$180	Kansas	\$406	Maine	\$611
Minnesota	\$170	South Carolina	\$400	Colorado	\$610
Oregon	\$165	Delaware	\$397	Kansas	\$609
Michigan	\$162	Tennessee	\$391	Ohio	\$601
West Virginia	\$155	Oklahoma	\$385	Oregon	\$590
Utah	\$153	Georgia	\$383	South Carolina	\$589
Arkansas	\$150	Nevada	\$375	Delaware	\$587
Texas	\$147	Wisconsin	\$375	Hawaii	\$570
New Hampshire	\$140	Iowa	\$355	Minnesota	\$556
Mississippi	\$138	Nebraska	\$333	Iowa	\$554
Alaska	\$137	Minnesota	\$330	North Dakota	\$554
Illinois	\$136	North Dakota	\$328	Pennsylvania	\$553
Wyoming	\$133	South Dakota	\$328	Alaska	\$526
South Dakota	\$128	Oregon	\$327	Mississippi	\$522
North Dakota	\$126	Wyoming	\$324	South Dakota	\$515
Delaware	\$100	Arkansas	\$305	Texas	\$510
Hawaii	\$60	Alaska	\$300	Nebraska	\$507
Nebraska	\$54	Texas	\$295	Oklahoma	\$505
New York	\$25	Mississippi	\$294	Illinois	\$477
Montana	\$15	Montana	\$288	Arkansas	\$475
Connecticut	N/A	Illinois	\$281	Montana	\$451
Massachusetts	N/A	Utah	\$264	Utah	\$442
Vermont	N/A	West Virginia	\$253	West Virginia Child Support Guidelines " Draft	\$391

Source: Pirog-Good, Maureen A., Daniel R. Mullins, "Legislated Inequalities: 1993 Child Support Guidelines," Draft paper. * In addition to the income assumptions, each case assumes a two-child family with ages 7 and 13; the Father pays union dues of \$30 per month; the children's monthly health care expenses total \$25; and monthly child care expenses of \$150.

Appendix

•	Child Support Order Summary Report	20
	Washington State Child Support Schedule—Economic Table	
•	Washington State Child Support Schedule—Work Sheets	22

CHILD SUPPORT ORDER SUMMARY REPORT

Father's Name	<u> </u>	Mother's Name			
Cause Number		County	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Date of Order	Summa	Summary Report Filed By: Father ()			
1. Type of Order (check one):	Superior Co	ourt	Administra	tive Law Judge	
2. Was the order for child support (check one):	original orde	er for support	order mod	ifying support	
3. Number of children of the parties:					
4. List each child's age below:					
Child 1 Child 2	Child 3	Child 4			
Complete lines 5-13 using the amounts entered	on the child suppo	ort worksheets sig	gned by the judg	e/reviewing office	
5. Father's monthly net income (Support Workshe	et page 1, Line 3)	•		\$	
6. Mother's monthly net income (Support Workshe	et page 1, Line 3)			\$	
7. List the basic child support obligation for each c	hild (from Workshee	et page 1, Line 5, i	ndividual amount	s)	
Child 1 Child 2	Child 3	Child 4			
8. Health Care Expenses (Support Worksheet pag	je 1, Line 8f)			\$	
9. Day Care and Special Expenses (Support Work	sheet page 2, Line	9)			
a. Day Care Expenses				\$	
b. Education Expenses				\$	
c. Long Distance Transportation Expenses				\$	
d. Other				\$	
e. Other				\$	
10. a. Father's standard calculation support obligati	on (Support Worksh	neet page 2, Line 1	3)	\$	
b. Mother's standard calculation support obligat	ion (Support Works	heet page 2, Line	13)	\$	
Actual Transfer Payment Ordered and Deviation	(If any)				
11. Which Parent is Payor? Father ()	Mother ()				
12. Transfer Payment Amount Ordered By Court				\$	
 a. If the Court deviated (amount from Line 12 di deviation due to: Child Needs () Parenta 	ffers from amount of al Factors ()	n Line 10 for the p	ayor), was the		
b. If the Court deviated, what were the reasons	stated by the Court	for the deviation?		-	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· .			
14. a. Was post-secondary education provided for	?	Yes ()	No ()		
b. If provided for, was a dollar amount ordered	?	Yes ()	No ()		
c. If a dollar amount was ordered, enter Payor's	s amount			\$	
Answer remaining questions only if this was an	order modifying s	upport.			
15. Total amount of the support transfer payment of		р. 		\$	
16. Which parent paid the transfer payment in the p		Father ()	Mother ()		
17. Was the change in the support transfer paymen			No ()		
18. The change in the support order was due to: (cl				chedules (
Change in parent income () Age of chi		nange in support s		Other ()	

Washington State Child Support Schedule Economic Table Monthly Basic Support Obligation Per Child

(KEY: A = AGE 0-11 B = AGE 12-18)

Combined Monthly Net Income	A Fa	hild mily B		A = AGE dren nily B	Child Fan A	dren nily B	Fou Child Fam	iren Ily B	Child Fan A	dren hily B
For income less than \$600, refer to Standards for Establishing Lower and Upper Limits on Child Support Amounts, #2: Income below six hundred dollars. (See page 2)										
600	123 155 177	164	103 120	127	86	106	73 85	90	63 74	78
700 800	155	191 218	120	148 170	100	124 142	97	105	84	91 104
.900	199	246	154	191	129	159 177	i 109	135	95	118
1000	220	272	171	211	143		121	149	105	130
1100	242	299	188	232	157	194	133	164 179	116	143
1200 1300	264 285	326 352	205	253 274	171	211	144	193	126	156
1400	307	352 379	221 238	294	185	228 246	168	208	147	181
1400 1500 1600	327 347	404 428	254 269	313 333	212 225	262 278	179 190	221 235	156	193 205
1700	367	453	285	352	238	294	201	248	175	217
1800	387	453 478	300	371	251	310	212	262	185	228
1900 2000	407 427	503 527	316	390 409	264 277	326 342	223 234	275 289	194 204	240 252
2100	447	552	347	429	289	358	245	303	213	264
2200	467	577	362	448 467	302	374	256	316	223	276
2300 2400	487 506	601 626	378	467 486	315	390 406	267 278	330 343	233	288 299
2500	526	650	393	505	341	400	288	356	251	311
2600	534	661	416	513	346	421 428 435	293	362	256	316
2700 2800	542 549	670 679	421 427	520 527	351	435 440	298 301	368 372	259 262	321 324
2900 i	556	686	431	533	360	445	305	376	266	328
3000	561	693	436	538	364	449	308	380	268	331
3100	566	699	439	543	367	453	310	383	270	334
3200 3300	569 573	704	442 445	546 549	369 371	457 459	312 314	386 388	272 273	336 339
3400	574	710	446	551	372	460	315	389	274	340
3500	575	711	447	552	373	461	316	390	275	341
3600 3700	577 578	712 713	448	553 554	374 375	462	317 318	391 392	276 277	342 343
3800 İ	581	719	452	558	377	463 466 477	319	394	278	344
3900 i	596	736	463 473	572	386	477	326	404	284	352
4000	609	753		584	395	488	334	413	291	360
4100 4200	623 638	770 788	484	598 611	404	500 511	341 * 350	422 431	298 305	368 377
4300	651	805	506	625	422	522	357	441	311	385
4300 4400	664	821	516	637	431	522 532 542	364	449	317	392
4500 4600	677 689	836 851	525 535	649 661	438	542	371 377	458 467	323	400 407
4700	701	866	545	673	455	552 562 572	384	475	335	414
4800	713	882	554	685	463	572	391	483	341	422
4900 5000	726 738	897 912	564 574	697 708	470	581 592	398	491 500	347 353	429 437
	For income gr Amounts, #3:	eater than \$	5,000, refe	r to Standa	rds for Es	ablishing L	ower and L	Jpper Limit age 3)		
5100	751	928	584	720 732	487	602	411	509	359	443
5200	763 776	943 959 974	593	732	494 503	611 621 632	418 425 432 439	509 517 525 533 542	365 371	451 458 466 473 480 488 495 502 509
5400	788	974	602	744 756 768 779	511	632	432	533	1 377	466
5200 5300 5400 5500 5600 5700 5800 5900	788 800 812 825 837	989 1004 1019 1035 1050	612 622	768	518	641 651 661 671	439	542	383 389	473
5700	812	1004	632	779	518 527 535 543	651	446 452	551 559	389	480
5800	837	1035	641 650	791 803	543	671	459	567	401	495
5900	850	1050	660	815	551	681 691	466 473	567 575 584	407 413	502
6000	862	1065	670	827	559	691				
6100 6200 6300 6400	875 887	1081 1096 1112 1127	680 689	839	567 575 583	701 710	479 486	593 601	418	517 524
6300	899	1112	699	851 863 875 887 899	583	721	493	601 609	424 430	524 532 539
6400	911	1127	709	875	591	721 731 740 750	500	617	436	539
6500 6600	924 936	1142	718 728	887	599 607	740	506	625	442	546 554
6700 6800	949	1142 1157 1172 1188 1203 1218	737	911	615	761	506 513 520 527	626 635 643 651	442 448 454	561
	961	1188	737 747	911 923 935 946	615 623	761 770	527	651	460	568 575 583
6800 6900 7000	974		757 767		631 639	780	533 540	659	466	

For income greater than \$7,000, refer to Standards for Establishing Lower and Upper Limits on Child Support Amounts, #3: Income above five thousand and seven thousand dollars. (See page 3)

Washington State Child Support Schedule Worksheets

Mother	Father					
County	Superior Court Case Number					
Children and Ages:						
Part I: Basic Child Support Obligation (See Instructions,	Page 5)					
1. Gross Monthly Income	Fath	er Mother				
a. Wages and Salaries	\$	\$				
b. Interest and Dividend Income	\$	\$				
c. Business Income	\$	\$				
d. Spousal Maintenance Received	\$	\$				
e. Other Income	\$	\$				
f. Total Gross Monthly Income (add lines 1a through 1e)	s	\$				
2. Monthly Deductions from Gross Income						
a. Income Taxes	\$	\$				
b. FICA/Self-Employment Taxes	\$	\$				
c. State Industrial Insurance Deductions	\$	\$				
d. Mendatory Union/Professional Dues	\$	\$				
e. Pension Plan Payments	\$	\$				
f. Spousal Maintenance Paid	\$	\$				
g. Normal Business Expenses	\$	\$				
 h. Total Deductions from Gross Income (add lines 2a through 2g) 	\$	\$				
3. Monthly Net Income (line 1f minus line 2h)	\$	\$				
4. Combined Monthly Net Income (add father's and mother's monthly net incomes from line	3)	5				
5. Basic Child Support Obligation (enter total amount in box Child #1 Child #3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s				
Child #2 Child #4						
 Proportional Share of Income (each parent's net income from line 3 divided by line 4) 						
 Each Parent's Basic Child Support Obligation (multiply each number on line 6 by line 5) 	s de la seconda de	\$				
Part II: Health Care, Day Care, and Special Child Rearing						
8. Health Care Expenses						
a. Monthly Health Insurance Premiums Paid for Child(re	en) \$	\$				
b. Uninsured Monthly Health Care Expenses Paid for C	hild(ren) \$	\$				
c. Total Monthly Health Care Expenses (line 8a plus line 8b)	\$	\$				
 Combined Monthly Health Care Expenses (add father's and mother's totals from line 8c) 		\$				
e. Maximum Ordinary Monthly Health Care (multiply line 5 times .05)		\$				
f. Extraordinary Monthly Health Care Expenses (line 8d minus line 8e, if "0" or negative, enter "0")		\$				
	to Next Page					

9. Day Care and Special Child Rearing Expenses	Father	Mother
a. Day Care Expenses	\$	\$
b. Education Expenses	\$	\$
c. Long Distance Transportation Expenses	\$	\$
d. Other Special Expenses (describe)	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
e. Total Day Care and Special Expenses (add lines 9a through 9d)	\$	\$
10. Combined Monthly Total of Day Care and Special Expenses (add father's and mother's total day care and special expenses from line 9e)	5	
1. Total Extraordinary Health Care, Day Care, and Special Expenses (line 8f plus line 10)	\$	
2. Each Parent's Obligation for Extraordinary Health Care, Day Care, and Special Expenses (multiply each number on line 6 by line 11)	\$	\$
Part III: Standard Calculation Child Support Obligation		
3. Standard Calculation Support Obligation (line 7 plus line 12)	\$	\$
Part IV: Child Support Credits (See Instructions, Page 8)		· · ·
4. Child Support Credits		
a. Monthly Health Care Expenses Credit	\$	\$
b. Day Care and Special Expenses Credit	\$	\$
c. Other Ordinary Expense Credit (describe)	\$	\$
d. Total Support Credits (add lines 14a through 14c)	\$	\$
Part V: Net Support Obilgation/Presumptive Transfer Payment (See Instruc	ctions, Page 8)	
5. Net Support Obligation (line 13 minus line 14d)	\$	s
Part VI: Additional Factors for Consideration (See Instructions, Page 8)		
16. Household Assets (List the estimated present value of all major household assets.)	Father's Household	Mother's Househol
a. Real Estate	\$	S
b. Stocks and Bonds	\$	\$
c. Vehicles	\$	\$
d. Boats	s	S
e. Pensions/IRAs/Bank Accounts	\$	5
f. Cash	s	\$
g. Insurance Plans	5	5
h. Other (describe)	\$	s
n. Onlar (describa)	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	5	\$

	isehold Debt t liens against household assets, extraordinary debt.)	Father's Household	Mother's Household
10.0		\$	\$
_,		s	\$
		S	\$
		\$	\$
	· · ·	\$	\$
		s	\$
18. Oth	er Household Income		
a.	Income Of Current Spouse (if not the other parent of this action)		
	Name	\$	\$
	Name	_ \$	\$
b.	Income Of Other Adults In Household		
	Name		\$
	Name	_ \$	\$
с.	Income Of Children (if considered extraordinary)		
	Name	_ \$	\$
	Name	\$	\$
d.	Income From Child Support		
	Name	\$	\$
	Name	_ \$	\$
e .	Income From Assistance Programs		
	Program	\$	\$
	Program	\$	\$
f.	Other Income (describe)		
		\$	\$
		\$	\$
19. No	n-Recurring Income (describe)		
		\$	\$
		\$	\$
20. Ch	ild Support Paid For Other Children		
	Name/age:	_ \$	\$
	Name/age:	\$	\$
	her Children Living In Each Household		
(Fi	rst names and ages)		
		-	
			1
	Continue to Next Page		

Other Factors For	Consideration					
						-
2						
		·				
						•
				tan tan sana		
-		·				· · · · ·
-	-					-
						· · ·
	-		······			-
					in the second	
					· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · ·			
•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,				·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · ·						
		·, ,		· · · · · ·		
		· · · · · ·				
				-		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		1				-
nature and Dates						1
	ty of perjury under te, true, and corr	er the laws of ti rect.	he State of W	ashington, the i	nformation	contained in these
eclare, under penal orksheets is comple						
eclare, under penal erksheets is comple						
aclare, under penal erksheets is comple						
					· · · ·	
		· · ·	•	Father's Signa	iture	·
				Father's Signa	iture	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · ·		Father's Signa	iture	
eclare, under penal orksheets is comple other's Signature ate	City			Father's Signa Date	ilure	City
other's Signature		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	iture	City

This worksheet has been certified by the State of Washington Office of the Administrator for the Courts. Photocopying of the worksheet is permitted.

WSCSS/09-01-91 Page 4 of 4