Group Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) for Anxious Children

Program description:

Treatments usually include multiple components, such as somatic management, cognitive restructuring and self-talk, exposure to feared stimuli, and positive reinforcement. This brief therapy can be administered in individual, group, or family format; well-known examples include the Coping Cat and Coping Koala programs. The results below are those from group formats.

Typical age of primary program participant: 10

Typical age of secondary program participant: N/A

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes Measured	Primary or Second-	No. of Effect Sizes	Unadjusted Effect Sizes (Random Effects Model)			Adjusted Effect Sizes and Standard Errors Used in the Benefit-Cost Analysis					
	ary Partici- pant		FS	SE	n-value	Firs ES	st time ES estimated	is Age	Sec	cond time estimate	ES is d
Anxiety disorder	Р	13	-0.94	0.16	0.00	-0.30	0.16	10	-0.13	0.07	15

Benefit-Cost Summary

	Program Benefits					Costs	Summary Statistics			
The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2011). The economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in Technical Appendix 2.	Partici- pants \$2,755	Tax- payers \$2,143	Other \$1,265	Other Indirect \$1,085	Total Benefits \$7,247	\$393	Benefit to Cost Ratio n/e	Return on Invest- ment n/e	Benefits Minus Costs \$7,640	Probability of a positive net present value 98%

Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates

	Benefits to:						
Source of Benefits	Partici- pants	Tax- payers	Other	Other In- direct	Total Benefits		
Earnings via anxiety disorder Health care costs for anxiety disorder	\$2,329 \$426	\$857 \$1,286	\$0 \$1,265	\$430 \$655	\$3,616 \$3,632		

Detailed Cost Estimates

The figures shown are estimates of the costs	Program Costs		Comparison Costs			Summary Statistics		
to implement programs in Washington. The							Present Value of	
treatment or treatment as usual, depending	Annual	Program	Year	Annual	Program	Year	Net Program Costs (in 2011	Uncertainty
on how effect sizes were calculated in the	Cost	Duration	Dollars	Cost	Duration	Dollars	dollars)	(+ or – %)
in Monte Carlo risk analysis, described in	\$559	1	2010	\$943	1	2010	-\$393	10%

Source: Based on therapist time, as reported in the treatment studies, as well as training costs and a flat fee for materials (e.g., manuals). Hourly therapist cost is based on the latest actuarial estimates of reimbursement by modality in WA State (DSHS).



Multiplicative Adjustments Applied to the Meta-Analysis

Type of Adjustment	Multiplier
1- Less well-implemented comparison group or observational study, with some covariates.	1.00
2- Well-implemented comparison group design, often with many statistical controls.	1.00
3- Well-done observational study with many statistical controls (e.g., IV, regression discontinuity).	1.00
4- Random assignment, with some RA implementation issues.	1.00
5- Well-done random assignment study.	1.00
Program developer = researcher	0.42
Unusual (not "real world") setting	1.00
Weak measurement used	1.00

Adjustment factors were generated by examining studies for the treatment of children or adolescents with internalizing problems. Because weak measurement and unusual setting designations were extremely rare among these studies, no discounts were assigned. Meta-regressions were conducted to test for the impact of different methodological factors on unadjusted effect size. Dummy variables for research design were not significant, indicating that this factor did not impact effect sizes. However, the involvement of program developers in the research was a significant predictor of effect size (B=-.482, p=.077), suggesting that such studies have more negative (i.e., larger) effect sizes than studies in which the developer is not involved in the evaluation. The regression coefficient was used to generate the 0.42 multiplier.

Additional Notes

Some studies included in this analysis compared the program (CBT) to control conditions that did not consist of an active treatment. Because policymakers in Washington are interested in the impact of this program above and beyond currently implemented treatments (i.e., treatment as usual), we reduced the effect size of studies utilizing a no treatment or waitlist control group in half to reflect a smaller impact that would be expected if these studies compared CBT to treatment as usual.

We conducted a meta-regression to test for differences among various formats of CBT for anxious children (remote, individual, group, and parent CBT). The results showed that there were no statistically significant differences in the effect of various formats of CBT on anxiety. These treatments are presented separately, however, because each format is associated with a different program cost.

Head-to-head studies comparing one format of CBT to another were meta-analyzed. There were no differences between individual and group CBT, family and child CBT, and child versus child plus parent CBT. This suggests that all formats are equally efficacious in alleviating anxiety.

Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis

- Barrett, P. M. (1998). Evaluation of cognitive-behavioral group treatments for childhood anxiety disorders. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 27(4), 459-468.
- Bernstein, G. A., Layne, A. E., Egan, E. A., & Tennison, D. M. (2005). School-based interventions for anxious children. *Journal of the American* Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 44(11), 1118-1127.
- Dadds, M. R., Spence, S. H., Holland, D. E., Barrett, P. M., & Laurens, K. R. (1997). Prevention and early intervention for anxiety disorders: A controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 65(4), 627-635.
- Gallagher, H. M., Rabian, B. A., & McCloskey, M. S. (2004). A brief group cognitive-behavioral intervention for social phobia in childhood. *Journal of* Anxiety Disorders, 18(4), 459-479.
- Hudson, J. L., Rapee, R. M., Deveney, C., Schniering, C. A., Lyneham, H. J., & Bovopoulos, N. (2009). Cognitive-behavioral treatment versus an active control for children and adolescents with anxiety disorders: A randomized trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 48(5), 533-544.
- Lau, W.-Y., Chan, C. K.-Y., Li, J. C.-H., & Au, T. K.-F. (2010). Effectiveness of group cognitive-behavioral treatment for childhood anxiety in community clinics. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48(11), 1067-1077.
- Muris, P., Meesters, C., & van Melick, M. (2002). Treatment of childhood anxiety disorders: A preliminary comparison between cognitive-behavioral group therapy and a psychological placebo intervention. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 33(3-4), 143-158.
- Rapee, R. M., Abbott, M. J., & Lyneham, H. J. (2006). Bibliotherapy for children with anxiety disorders using written materials for parents: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74(3), 436-444.
- Rapee, R. (2000). Group treatment of children with anxiety disorders: Outcome and predictors of treatment response. Australian Journal of Psychology, 52(3), 125-129.
- Shortt, A. L., Barrett, P. M., & Fox, T. L. (2001). Evaluating the FRIENDS program: A cognitive-behavioral group treatment for anxious children and their parents. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 30(4), 525-535.
- Silverman, W. K., Kurtines, W. M., Ginsburg, G. S., Weems., C. F., Lumpkin, P. W., & Carmichael, D. H. (1999). Treating anxiety disorders in children with group cognitive-behavioral therapy: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 67(6), 995-1003.
- Spence, S. H., Donovan, C., & Breechman-Toussaint, M. (2000). The treatment of childhood social phobia: The effectiveness of a social skills trainingbased, cognitive behavioural intervention, with and without prenatal involvement. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 41(6), 713-726.
- Spence, S. H., Holmes, J. M., March, S., & Lipp, O. V. (2006). The feasibility and outcome of clinic plus internet delivery of cognitive-behavior therapy for childhood anxiety. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74(3), 614-621.