## Child-Parent Center (CPC)
### Pre-K to 12 Education
Benefit-cost estimates updated December 2023.  Literature review updated April 2020.

Current estimates replace old estimates. Numbers will change over time as a result of model inputs and monetization methods.

The WSIPP benefit-cost analysis examines, on an apples-to-apples basis, the monetary value of programs or policies to determine whether the benefits from the program exceed its costs. WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies has three main steps. First, we determine "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using a statistical technique called meta-analysis. Second, we calculate whether the benefits of a program exceed its costs. Third, we estimate the risk of investing in a program by testing the sensitivity of our results. For more detail on our methods, see our Technical Documentation.

Program Description: Child-Parent Center (CPC) is a school- and family-based program that provides educational and family support services to economically and educationally disadvantaged children. CPC aims to enhance school success, social competence, economic self-sufficiency, and general health. CPC includes activities designed to promote academic and social success, parental involvement in school, and aid in the transition into kindergarten and elementary school. The CPC preschool program is three hours daily, for five days a week, with the classroom teacher performing all instruction and family services. Children are typically in the program for two or more years. Each parent is required to spend at least a half-day per week in the center during preschool and kindergarten.

### Benefit-Cost Summary Statistics Per Participant

| Benefits to: | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Taxpayers | $19,560 | Benefit to cost ratio | $4.18 |
| Participants | $16,986 | Benefits minus costs | $36,525 |
| Others | $10,571 | Chance the program will produce | |
| Indirect | $903 | benefits greater than the costs | 91% |
| Total benefits | $48,020 | | |
| Net program cost | ($11,495) | | |
| Benefits minus cost | $36,525 | | |

The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2022). The chance the benefits exceed the costs are derived from a Monte Carlo risk analysis. The details on this, as well as the economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in our Technical Documentation.

## Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

| Outcomes measured | Treatment age | No. of effect sizes | Treatment N | Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis | | | | | | Unadjusted effect size (random effects model) | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | | | First time ES is estimated | | | Second time ES is estimated | | | | |
| | | | | ES | SE | Age | ES | SE | Age | ES | p-value |
| K-12 grade repetition | 3 | 1 | 893 | -0.446 | 0.147 | 13 | -0.446 | 0.147 | 13 | -0.446 | 0.002 |
| High school graduation | 3 | 1 | 893 | 0.229 | 0.158 | 18 | 0.229 | 0.158 | 18 | 0.229 | 0.146 |
| K-12 special education | 3 | 1 | 893 | -0.401 | 0.170 | 16 | -0.401 | 0.170 | 16 | -0.401 | 0.018 |
| Regular smoking | 3 | 1 | 722 | -0.014 | 0.090 | 17 | -0.014 | 0.090 | 27 | -0.014 | 0.877 |
| Child abuse and neglect | 3 | 1 | 893 | -0.394 | 0.196 | 15 | -0.394 | 0.196 | 17 | -0.394 | 0.044 |
| Out-of-home placement | 3 | 1 | 893 | -0.319 | 0.265 | 15 | -0.319 | 0.265 | 17 | -0.319 | 0.229 |
| Crime | 3 | 1 | 893 | -0.209 | 0.184 | 22 | -0.209 | 0.184 | 32 | -0.209 | 0.258 |
| Test scores | 3 | 1 | 852 | 0.191 | 0.058 | 12 | 0.147 | 0.064 | 17 | 0.191 | 0.001 |

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our Technical Documentation.

## Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates Per Participant

| Affected outcome: | Resulting benefits:[1] | Benefits accrue to: | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Taxpayers | Participants | Others[2] | Indirect[3] | Total |
| Crime | Criminal justice system | $1,332 | $0 | $2,705 | $666 | $4,703 |
| Test scores | Labor market earnings associated with test scores | $6,291 | $14,821 | $7,811 | $0 | $28,923 |
| Child abuse and neglect | Child abuse and neglect | $203 | $2,148 | $0 | $101 | $2,452 |
| Out-of-home placement | Out-of-home placement | $342 | $0 | $0 | $171 | $513 |
| K-12 grade repetition | K-12 grade repetition | $537 | $0 | $0 | $269 | $806 |
| K-12 special education | K-12 special education | $10,802 | $0 | $0 | $5,401 | $16,202 |
| Regular smoking | Health care associated with smoking | $52 | $15 | $54 | $26 | $146 |
| Child abuse and neglect | Property loss associated with alcohol abuse or dependence | $0 | $0 | $1 | $0 | $1 |
| Child abuse and neglect | Mortality associated with child abuse and neglect | $1 | $2 | $0 | $17 | $20 |
| Program cost | Adjustment for deadweight cost of program | $0 | $0 | $0 | ($5,747) | ($5,747) |
| Totals | | $19,560 | $16,986 | $10,571 | $903 | $48,020 |

[1]In addition to the outcomes measured in the meta-analysis table, WSIPP measures benefits and costs estimated from other outcomes associated with those reported in the evaluation literature. For example, empirical research demonstrates that high school graduation leads to reduced crime. These associated measures provide a more complete picture of the detailed costs and benefits of the program.

[2]"Others" includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance.

[3]"Indirect benefits" includes estimates of the net changes in the value of a statistical life and net changes in the deadweight costs of taxation.

## Detailed Annual Cost Estimates Per Participant

| | Annual cost | Year dollars | Summary | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Program costs | $5,597 | 2007 | Present value of net program costs (in 2022 dollars) | ($11,495) |
| Comparison costs | $0 | 2007 | Cost range (+ or -) | 30% |

To estimate the per-participant cost for Child-Parent Centers (CPC), we use the annual cost and multiply it by the average length of time that children spend in CPC in the studies included in our meta-analysis, approximately 1.55 years. We use the annual cost information reported in Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., White, B.A., Ou, S.R., & Robertson, D.L. (2011). Age-26 cost-benefit analysis of the child-parent center early education program. Child Development, 82(1), 379-404.

The figures shown are estimates of the costs to implement programs in Washington. The comparison group costs reflect either no treatment or treatment as usual, depending on how effect sizes were calculated in the meta-analysis. The cost range reported above reflects potential variation or uncertainty in the cost estimate; more detail can be found in our Technical Documentation.

## Benefits Minus Costs Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)



**Legend:** ■ Cumulative net benefits

The graph above illustrates the estimated cumulative net benefits per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. We present these cash flows in discounted dollars. If the dollars are negative (bars below $0 line), the cumulative benefits do not outweigh the cost of the program up to that point in time. The program breaks even when the dollars reach $0. At this point, the total benefits to participants, taxpayers, and others, are equal to the cost of the program. If the dollars are above $0, the benefits of the program exceed the initial investment.

## Benefits by Perspective Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)



**Legend:** ■ Participant ■ Taxpayer ■ Others ■ Indirect

The graph above illustrates the breakdown of the estimated cumulative benefits (not including program costs) per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. These cash flows provide a breakdown of the classification of dollars over time into four perspectives: taxpayer, participant, others, and indirect. "Taxpayers" includes expected savings to government and expected increases in tax revenue. "Participants" includes expected increases in earnings and expenditures for items such as health care and college tuition. "Others" includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance. "Indirect benefits" includes estimates of the changes in the value of a statistical life and changes in the deadweight costs of taxation. If a section of the bar is below the $0 line, the program is creating a negative benefit, meaning a loss of value from that perspective.



### Taxpayer Benefits by Source of Value Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)

Legend: Crime, Child Welfare, K to 12 Education, Health Care, Earnings

The graph above focuses on the subset of estimated cumulative benefits that accrue to taxpayers. The cash flows are divided into the source of the value.

## Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., Robertson, D.L., & Mann, E.A. (2002). Age 21 cost-benefit analysis of the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 24*(4), 267-303.

Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., White, B.A., Ou, S.R., & Robertson, D.L. (2011). Age-26 cost-benefit analysis of the child-parent center early education program. *Child Development, 82*(1), 379-404.

Topitzes, J., Mersky, J.P., Godes, O., Ceglarek, S., & Reynolds, A.J. (2009). Educational success and adult health: Findings from the chicago longitudinal study. *Prevention Science, 10*(2), 175-195.

## Washington State Institute for Public Policy